K.-I. NISHIKAWA¹, J. T. FREDERIKSEN², Å. NORDLUND², Y. MIZUNO³, P. E. HARDEE⁴, J. NIEMIEC⁵, J. L. GÓMEZ⁶, A. PE'ER⁷, I. DUţAN⁸, A. MELI⁹, H. SOL¹⁰, M. POHL^{11,12}, AND D. H. HARTMANN¹³ ¹ Department of Physics, University of Alabama in Huntsville, ZP12, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA; ken-ichi.nishikawa@nasa.gov

Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goethe University, D-60438, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA

Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

⁶ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Apartado 3004, E-18080 Granada, Spain ⁷ Physics Department, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

⁸ Institute of Space Science, Atomistilor 409, Bucharest-Magurele RO-077125, Romania

⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Gent, Proeffuinstraat 86 B-9000, Gent, Belgium

LUTH, Observatore de Paris-Meudon, 5 place Jules Jansen, F-92195 Meudon Cedex, France

¹¹ Institut fur Physik und Astronomie, Universität Potsdam, D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany

DESY, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

¹³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA

Received 2015 November 10; accepted 2016 February 8; published 2016 March 23

ABSTRACT

In the study of relativistic jets one of the key open questions is their interaction with the environment. Here we study the initial evolution of both electron-proton $(e^- - p^+)$ and electron-positron (e^{\pm}) relativistic jets, focusing on their lateral interaction with ambient plasma. We follow the evolution of toroidal magnetic fields generated by both the kinetic Kelvin–Helmholtz and Mushroom instabilities. For an $e^- - p^+$ jet, the induced magnetic field collimates the jet and electrons are perpendicularly accelerated. As the instabilities saturate and subsequently weaken, the magnetic polarity switches from clockwise to counterclockwise in the middle of the jet. For an e^{\pm} jet, we find strong mixing of electrons and positrons with the ambient plasma, resulting in the creation of a bow shock. The merging of current filaments generates density inhomogeneities that initiate a forward shock. Strong jetambient plasma mixing prevents a full development of the jet (on the scale studied), revealing evidence for both jet collimation and particle acceleration in the forming bow shock. Differences in the magnetic field structure generated by $e^- - p^+$ and e^{\pm} jets may contribute to the polarization properties of the observed emission in AGN jets and gamma-ray bursts.

Key words: acceleration of particles – plasmas – radiation mechanisms: non-thermal – relativistic processes – stars: jets - Sun: magnetic fields

1. INTRODUCTION

Relativistic jets are collimated plasma outflows associated with active galactic nuclei (AGNs), gamma-ray bursts (GRBs), and pulsars. Among these astrophysical systems, blazars and GRB jets produce the most luminous phenomena in the universe (e.g., Pe'er 2014). Despite extensive observational and theoretical investigations including simulation studies, our understanding of their formation, evolution in ambient plasmas, and consequently their observable properties, such as timedependent flux and polarity, remains quite limited. One of the key open questions in the study of relativistic jets is how they interact with the immediate plasma environment.

The above-mentioned outflows are commonly thought to be dynamically hot (relativistic) magnetized plasma flows launched, accelerated, and collimated in regions where Poynting flux dominates over particle (matter) flux (e.g., Blandford & Znajek 1977; McKinney et al. 2014). This scenario involves a helical large-scale magnetic field structure in some AGN jets, which provides a unique signature in the form of observed asymmetries across the jet width, particularly in the polarization (e.g., Laing 1981; Aloy et al. 2000; Clausen-Brown et al. 2011).

Outflows interact with the interstellar medium and can thereby generate relativistic shocks, as demonstrated in particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations (e.g., Nishikawa et al.

2009a), which show that particles are accelerated and radiate predominantly from the magnetic field enhanced reverse shock (RS) region. The shocks are collisionless and result from the growth of kinetic beam-plasma instabilities: either electrostatic (e.g., two-stream or Buneman modes), quasi-electrostatic (e.g., Bret et al. 2010), or electromagnetic (e.g., filamentation). Extensive PIC simulations have been performed to investigate the microscopic processes of jet-driven collisionless relativistic shocks without implementing jet boundaries. The simulations have shown that in shocks in un- or weakly magnetized plasmas beam-plasma instabilities produce current filaments and associated magnetic fields that lead to particle acceleration and emission (e.g., Weibel 1959; Medvedev & Loeb 1999; Nishikawa et al. 2003, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2009a; Silva et al. 2003; Frederiksen et al. 2004; Hededal et al. 2004; Hededal & Nishikawa 2005; Jaroschek et al. 2005; Chang et al. 2008; Dieckmann et al. 2008; Spitkovsky 2008a, 2008b; Martins et al. 2009; Sironi & Spitkovsky 2009a; Haugbølle 2011; Sironi et al. 2013; Choi et al. 2014; Ardaneh et al. 2015).

At the leading edge of the jet, Ardaneh et al. (2015) reported efficient particle acceleration in a double-shock system like that found earlier by Nishikawa et al. (2009a) and subsequently by Choi et al. (2014). The double-shock system is composed of three regions: an acceleration region behind the RS with strong transverse electromagnetic fields accompanying an ambipolar



electrostatic field in the $e^- - p^+$ case, a heated region with relatively weak electromagnetic fields ahead of the forward shock (FS), and a hot shocked region with large electromagnetic field structures that are of the order of 1–30 ion skin depths in size. The simulation captured a fully developed RS, while the FS was still evolving. In order to also investigate velocity shear at flow boundaries, numerous PIC simulations have been performed, demonstrating the growth of the electronscale Kelvin–Helmholtz instability (ESKHI), also referred to as the kinetic Kelvin–Helmholtz instability (kKHI) (e.g., Alves et al. 2012, 2014; Grismayer et al. 2013a, 2013b; Liang et al. 2013a, 2013b; Nishikawa et al. 2013, 2014b, 2014c, 2014a).

Recently, Alves et al. (2015) reported electron-scale surface waves in the transverse plane of a counter-streaming sheared flow in an initially unmagnetized collisionless plasma. They labeled this the Mushroom instability (MI) owing to the mushroom-like structures that emerge in the electron density. While the ESKHI (kKHI) has higher growth rates than the MI for subrelativistic cases, the MI growth rate scales with $\gamma_{\rm jt}^{-1/2}$ and declines slower than the ESKHI, which scales with $\gamma_{it}^{-3/2}$ (Alves et al. 2015). Here γ_{it} is the Lorentz factor of jet particles. Nishikawa et al. (2014c) also found that the growth of transverse structure seen in their 3D simulations likely grows on timescales $t \propto \gamma_{jt}^{1/2}$. Fluctuation wavelengths along the flow direction seen in lower Lorentz factor simulations are on the order of the predicted fastest-growing wavelengths for both electron-proton and electron-positron plasmas. This suggests that the dispersion relation valid for electron-proton plasmas can be applied approximately even for equal-mass negatively and positively charged particles. On the other hand, the absolute rate of growth and nonlinear structure are very different for electron-proton and electron-positron plasmas.

Nishikawa et al. (2014b, 2014c, 2014a) performed threedimensional (3D) PIC simulations to investigate the kKHI and MI using a relativistic jet core with $\gamma_{jt} = 1.5, 5, 15$ and surrounding stationary sheath plasma for two different electron-proton $(e^- - p^+)$ and electron-positron (e^{\pm}) compositions. This more physically realistic jet and stationary sheath setup with the slab model allows for spatial propagation and provides a proper observer frame view of the shear layer structures. Their key findings can be summarized as follows: the $e^- - p^+$ cases generate a DC magnetic field in the shear plane (B_{ν} with E_{τ}), perpendicular to the relative velocity in xdirection, while the e^{\pm} cases generate AC electric and magnetic fields (Nishikawa et al. 2014c). These major findings obtained in the slab model are found as well in this new research, along with new phenomena due to the cylindrical jet structure. The slab model was extended to the cylindrical mode (Nishikawa et al. 2014a) and also to the new model presented here, where a cylindrical jet is injected into the ambient plasma.

Previously shock and velocity shear processes were investigated separately (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a [shock]; Nishikawa et al. 2014c [velocity shear]). In order to begin an investigation of the combined processes, we performed simulations where a relativistic cylindrical jet is injected into ambient plasma, resulting in velocity shear and shocks in a potentially complicated shock/shear system as shown in Figure 1(c) (global jet setup). The present simulations utilize an injection method similar to that used in our previous studies of shocks (Nishikawa et al. 2009a; Choi et al. 2014; Ardaneh et al. 2015) and includes a velocity shear between jet and ambient plasmas. Earlier simulations with similar setups were performed with significantly smaller jet radius and length (Nishikawa et al. 2003, 2005; Ng & Noble 2006). The simulations use plasmas with electron–proton ($e^- - p^+$ with $m_p/m_e = 1836$) and electron–positron (e^{\pm}) compositions, so we can investigate the combination of kKHI, MI, and filamentation Weibel-like instabilities as a function of composition.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides a summary of the theoretical analysis of kKHI and MI growth rates. Simulation setups illustrating the different study cases of the global jet simulations are described in Section 3.1. Simulation results of the cylindrical jet velocity shear and the global jet propagation, nonlinear evolution, and electromagnetic field and current structure are presented and discussed in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. Our findings are summarized in Section 4, where their application to AGNs and GRBs is discussed.

2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS SUMMARY OF kKHI AND MI GROWTH RATES

Growth rates of the kKHI have been investigated theoretically (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2014c) by analyzing the stability of longitudinal electrostatic perturbations considering a sharp velocity shear surface at z = 0 with "jet" plasma (n_{jt}) at z > 0 and "ambient" plasma (n_{am}) at z < 0 with the flow in jet and ambient plasma in the *x*-direction. It is assumed that density, velocity, current, and electric field perturbations are along the flow (*x*-axis) and of the form

$$f_1(x, z, t) = f_1(z)e^{i(kx-\omega t)},$$
(1)

where the wavevector $\mathbf{k} \equiv k_x$ is parallel to the flow direction. We are considering a velocity shear surface that is infinite and transverse to the flow direction, and perturbations are independent of y, i.e., $k_y = 0$. The perturbed magnetic field lies along the y-axis, transverse to the flow and parallel to the shear surface. In the low-wavenumber limit ($kc \ll \omega_p$) with $v_{\rm am} = 0$ and $\gamma_{\rm am} = 1$, relevant to the numerical simulations, an analytic solution to the dispersion relation is given by

$$\omega \sim \frac{(\gamma_{jt}\,\omega_{p,am}/\omega_{p,jt})}{(1+\gamma_{jt}\,\omega_{p,am}/\omega_{p,jt})}kv_{jt} \pm i\frac{(\gamma_{jt}\,\omega_{p,am}/\omega_{p,jt})^{1/2}}{(1+\gamma_{jt}\,\omega_{p,am}/\omega_{p,jt})}kv_{jt}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\omega_p^2 \equiv \frac{4\pi n_e e^2}{\gamma^3 m_e}.$$

In previous simulations the phase (drift) velocity was comparable to the jet speed and the low-wavenumber growth rate scaled with $\gamma_{jt}^{-5/4}$ (Nishikawa et al. 2014c). Numerical solution of the dispersion suggested that

$$\omega_I^* \sim \omega_{\mathrm{p,jt}} \text{ and } k^* v_{\mathrm{jt}} \sim \frac{(1 + \gamma_{\mathrm{jt}} \omega_{\mathrm{p,am}} / \omega_{\mathrm{p,jt}})}{(\gamma_{\mathrm{jt}} \omega_{\mathrm{p,am}} / \omega_{\mathrm{p,jt}})^{1/2}} \omega_{\mathrm{p,jt}},$$
 (3)

provided zeroth-order estimates for the maximum temporal growth rate, $\omega_I^* \propto \gamma^{-3/2}$, and wavenumber, k^* , at maximum growth.

Alves et al. (2015) analyzed the stability of electromagnetic perturbations in the transverse plane of a collisionless plasma sheared flow with velocity profile $v_0 = v_0(x)e_z$. Here we adapt



Figure 1. Schematic simulation setups: (a) injection scheme for shock simulations where jets are injected at $x = 25\Delta$ in the y-z plane (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a), (b) cylindrical injection scheme for shear flow simulations where jets are initially placed along the entire length of the *x*-axis at the center of the y-z plane (Alves 2010; Nishikawa et al. 2014a), and (c) global jet injection scheme (for this simulation study) where the jet is injected at $x = 100\Delta$ with jet radius $r_{jt} = 100\Delta$ at the center of the y-z plane (not scaled).

their numerical analysis to our present simulations of 3D global jets and assume the slab geometry used in previous work (Nishikawa et al. 2014c). Now the perturbations are of the form

$$f_1(x, z, t) = f_1(z)e^{i(ky-\omega t)},$$
(4)

and the wavevector $\mathbf{k} \equiv k_y$ is transverse to the flow direction. Based on the analysis by Alves et al. (2015), we assume a slab setup as in their case and our previous cases and ignore the effects of ambient plasma in the jet. In the present case, $n_{jt} = n_{am} = n_0$ (for simplicity) and $v^+ = v_{jt}$, $v^- = v_{am} = 0$, the growth rate becomes

$$\frac{\Gamma}{\omega_{\rm pe}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{4k_y^2 v_{\rm jt}^2}{\gamma_{\rm jt} \omega_{\rm pe}^2} + D_{\parallel}^2} - D_{\parallel} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{5}$$

where $\Gamma = \text{Im}(\omega)$ and $D_{\parallel} = 1/\gamma_{jt}^3 + k_y^2 c^2/\omega_{pe}^2$. The fastest growth of this unstable branch $(\partial_k \Gamma = 0)$ is found at $k_y \to \infty$ (finite thermal effects and/or smooth velocity shear profiles introduce a cutoff at finite k). In the limit, $n_{am} \gg n_{jt}/\gamma_{jt}^3$, $\gamma_{jet} \gg 1$, and $v_{am} = 0$, the cutoff at finite k provides a maximum growth rate, Γ_{max} , for a given sheared flow Lorentz factor of $\Gamma_{max}/\omega_{pe} = v_{jt}/c\sqrt{\gamma_{jt}}$. The MI and ESKHI (Alves et al. 2012) growth rates are

The MI and ESKHI (Alves et al. 2012) growth rates are compared for different shear Lorentz factors and velocities in Figure 1 of Alves et al. (2015). While the ESKHI has a higher growth rate than the MI for nonrelativistic flows, for relativistic flows the MI growth rate declines as $\gamma_{jt}^{-1/2}$ and declines more slowly than the ESKHI, which declines as $\gamma_{jt}^{-3/2}$ (see Figure 1(b) in Alves et al. 2015). Provided that initial perturbations for both instabilities are similar, the MI is the dominant electron-scale instability in relativistic shear flows.

The application of the analytical description of kKHI and MI, as well as comparison with the results of this simulation study, is limited because our simulation setup does not use a slab model, and the jet density is added to the ambient density, which is not included in the analytical description. Furthermore, the expression for the growth rate in the large wavelength limit with the same densities for jet and ambient plasmas is not applicable to the simulation results. However, the basic qualitative results in Alves et al. (2015) would be applied to our simulations that are described in the later

sections with the fact that the MI mode is dominant in the jets with high Lorentz factor. The growing kKHI and MI are observed in jet structures. The kKHI and MI are longitudinal and transverse modes, respectively. Therefore, the excited modes of kKHI modes are found in the x-z plane, and, on the contrary, the MI mode is found in the y-z plane as illustrated in Alves et al. (2016). In 3D displays both modes are seen simultaneously.

3. GLOBAL JET SIMULATIONS OF KKHI, MI, AND WEIBEL-LIKE INSTABILITIES

3.1. Previous and Present Simulation Setups

We perform "global" simulations of the injection of a cylindrical jet into an ambient plasma in order to investigate shocks (Weibel-like instabilities) and velocity shear (kKHI and MI) simultaneously. Simulations of shocks generated by the Weibel instability have previously been performed using the model shown in Figure 1(a), where the jet spans the computational grid in the transverse direction (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a; Choi et al. 2014; Ardaneh et al. 2015). In other simulations, the kKHI and MI have been investigated using a slab jet model (not shown) (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2013, 2014b, 2014c, 2014a) or a cylindrical model where the jet spans the computational grid in the longitudinal direction (Alves 2010; Nishikawa et al. 2014a) as shown in Figure 1(b).

The separate investigation of leading edge shocks or velocity shear surfaces does not provide a realistic scenario for jets in most astrophysical systems. To achieve and investigate the combined effect of the leading edge shocks and velocity shear instabilities, such as might be associated with the "needles-in-ajet" or "jet-in-a-jet" scenarios proposed in the blazar AGN context, we perform simulations of a relativistic cylindrical jet injected into an ambient plasma. Such simulations contain both a velocity shear and a shock in a potentially complicated shock/shear system, as shown in Figure 1(c). We use an open boundary condition for the bottom and top (at $x = x_{\min}, x_{\max}$). In order to avoid possible artificial phenomena that may affect the initial jet evolution, we inject the jet far from the boundary at $x = 100\Delta$. The jet is injected with a sharp edge and the "top hat" shape. It should be noted that in case (c) the jet is injected into the ambient plasmas; therefore, there is no discontinuity as in case (b), in which the jet is set inside the ambient plasma,



Figure 2. Isocontour plots of the J_x current magnitude with magnetic field lines (one-fifth of the jet length) for (a) an $e^- - p^+$ and (b) an e^{\pm} jet at simulation time $t = 300\omega_{ne}^{-1}$. The 3D displays are clipped along and perpendicular to the jet in order to view the interior. Color bars (a) 1.0–6.0; (b) 2–18.

with a discontinuity between the two plasmas. However, the jet is injected with a sharp edge; therefore, there exists some discontinuity initially at the jet boundary that excites kKHI and MI. Inside the jet the Weibel instability is excited interacting with the ambient plasma.

3.2. Cylindrical Jet Velocity Shear Result Summary

In the following we describe kKHI and MI simulation results from a cylindrical velocity shear setup as shown in Figure 1(b), in order to illustrate the basic differences between e^{\pm} and $e^{-} - p^{+}$ plasma jets (Nishikawa et al. 2014a). These simulations have been performed using a numerical grid with $(L_x, L_y, L_z) = (1005\Delta, 205\Delta, 205\Delta)$ (simulation cell size: $\Delta = 1$) and periodic boundary conditions in all dimensions. The jet and sheath (electron) plasma number density measured in the simulation frame is $n_{jt} = n_{am} = 8$. The cylindrical jet with jet radius $r_{\rm jt} = 50\Delta$ is inserted in the middle of the y-z plane. The electron skin depth $\lambda_{\rm s} = c/\omega_{\rm pe} = 12.2\Delta$, where $\omega_{\rm pe} = (e^2 n_{\rm am}/\epsilon_0 m_{\rm e})^{1/2}$ is the electron plasma frequency and the electron Debye length for the ambient electrons $\lambda_{\rm D} = 1.2\Delta$. The jet–electron thermal velocity is $v_{\text{it,th,e}} = 0.014c$ in the jet reference frame, where c is the speed of light. The electron thermal velocity in the ambient plasma is $v_{\text{am,th,e}} = 0.03c$, and ion thermal velocities are smaller by $(m_i/m_e)^{1/2}$. Simulations were performed using an electronpositron (e^{\pm}) plasma or an electron-proton $(e^{-} - p^{+})$ with $m_{\rm p}$ $m_{\rm e} = 1836$) plasma for the jet Lorentz factor of 5 and with the sheath plasma at rest ($v_{\text{sheath}} = 0$).

Figure 2 shows isocontour images of the *x* component of the current along with magnetic field lines generated by the kKHI and MI for e^{\pm} and $e^{-} - p^{+}$ jets. In the $e^{-} - p^{+}$ jet case shown in Figure 2(a), currents are generated in sheet-like layers and magnetic fields are wrapped around the jet. The toroidal magnetic field lines outside of the jet show signatures of both kKHI and MI (Figure 2(a)). Nishikawa et al. (2014c) have shown the development of the MI mode; at the earlier linear stage even they had not yet identified it as an MI. At the nonlinear stage the wavelength of MI becomes very large and DC magnetic field is generated as shown in Alves et al. (2012). In this simulation the cylindrical jet is used, and the DC

magnetic field becomes more eminent and related to the collimation of the jet. As is discussed in Section 2 for relativistic jets with higher Lorentz factor, the MI becomes dominant compared to kKHI (Alves et al. 2015), and we believe that the MI is more likely the source for generating the DC magnetic field. On the other hand, in the e^{\pm} jet case shown in Figure 2(b), many distinct current filaments are generated near the velocity shear, and the individual current filaments are wrapped by the magnetic field, which clearly indicates the MI. The clear difference in the magnetic field structure between these two cases may make it possible to distinguish different jet compositions via differences in circular and linear polarization.

3.3. Results of 3D Global Jet Simulations

Nishikawa et al. (2014a) reported simulation results using a computation system with $(L_x, L_y, L_z) =$ $(645\Delta, 131\Delta, 131\Delta)$, jet Lorentz factor $\gamma_{jt} = 10$, jet injection at $x = 100\Delta$, and jet radius $r_{jt} = 20\Delta$ in the center of the y-zplane. However, the jet radius in these simulations was too small to clearly distinguish velocity shear and shock effects. Here we overcome this deficiency by using a five times larger jet radius, $r_{jt} = 100\Delta$, and a suitably larger computation system with $(L_x, L_y, L_z) = (2005\Delta, 1005\Delta, 1005\Delta)$. The cylindrical jet with jet Lorentz factor $\gamma_{jt} = 15$ is continuously injected at $x = 100\Delta$ in the middle of the y-z plane as shown in Figure 1(c). Note that this system is short compared to previous pure shock simulations (Nishikawa et al. 2009a; Choi et al. 2014; Ardadeh et al. 2015) and reveals only the earliest stages of shock development.

The jet and ambient (electron) plasma number density measured in the simulation frame is $n_{jt} = 8$ and $n_{am} = 11.1$, respectively. The electron skin depth in the ambient medium is $\lambda_s = 10.4\Delta$, and the electron Debye length for the ambient electrons is $\lambda_D = 1.2\Delta$. The jet–electron thermal velocity is $v_{jt,th,e} = 0.014c$ in the jet reference frame. The electron thermal velocity in the ambient plasma is $v_{am,th,e} = v_{am,th,p} = 0.05c$, and ion thermal velocities are smaller by $(m_i/m_e)^{1/2}$. We have chosen these thermal velocities in order to investigate the combined effect of shocks (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a) and kKHI (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2014c) with thermal temperatures



Figure 3. Electron density with magnetic field arrows in the plane at $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$ for the $e^- - p^+$ case (upper panels) and the e^{\pm} case (lower panels). Panels (a) and (c) show electron density in the *x*-*z* plane at $y = 500\Delta$, and panels (b) and (d) show electron density in the *y*-*z* plane at $x = 1200\Delta$, where development is in the nonlinear stage. Color bars (a) 0–143.3; (b) 4.58–37.92; (c) 0–119.4; (d) 0–100.6.

similar to those used in these studies. Simulations were performed using an electron–positron plasma or an electron–proton plasma with the ambient plasma at rest ($v_{am} = 0$). The system evolution is followed until $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$.

The global evolution of relativistic electron-positron and electron-proton plasma jets is shown in Figure 3. For the $e^{-} - p^{+}$ case jet collimation takes place at $500\Delta < x < 750\Delta$ owing to the toroidal magnetic fields generated by the kKHI and the MI. Jet electrons are pinched toward the jet center where current filaments merge and high electron density is created beyond $x \approx 500\Delta$. The collimation disappears gradually beyond $x \approx 1000\Delta$. The jet is well defined by the heavy jet protons. The wave patterns generated by the kKHI and the MI and extending for $r \gg r_{it}$ are shown in Figures 3(a) and (b). For the e^{\pm} case, since electrons and positrons are light, there is a mix of jet and ambient particles at the velocity shear boundary as found previously using the cylindrical core sheath scheme (Nishikawa et al. 2014b, 2014c, 2014a). As in shock simulations (Nishikawa et al. 2009a), the Weibel instability is excited in the jet and density fluctuation patterns are generated around $x \approx 1250\Delta$. At the same time, the kKHI and the MI are excited in the velocity shear region, causing jet and ambient electrons to move away from the jet boundary. This motion appears as the streaks in Figure 3(c). The excited wave patterns are clearly exhibited in Figure 3(d).

Differences between the two cases are also revealed in other physical quantities, such as the x component of current density shown in Figure 4. Magnetic fields in the plane are shown by lengthened arrows so that even weak magnetic fields can be seen. For the e^{\pm} case the Weibel instability generates current filaments shown in Figure 4(c) that nonlinearly saturate around $x \approx 1250\Delta$. In the nonlinear region electron density fluctuations are a sign of shock formation. The initial nonlinear stage (minor saturation) is seen in the merging of current filaments, as also seen in the previous simulation in Nishikawa et al. (2009a). Note, however, that in order to fully establish the shock, we require a grid length that is larger than 4000Δ (see, e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a). For the $e^- - p^+$ case, strong electron currents are generated by the high electron density in the collimation region shown in Figure 4(a). The jet boundary is framed by the proton currents shown in Figures 4(a) and (b). Wave patterns generated by the kKHI and MI are clearly seen as radial propagation in the plane perpendicular to the jet.



Figure 4. The x component of the electron current density J_x with magnetic field arrows in the plane at $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$ for the $e^- - p^+$ case (upper panels) and the e^{\pm} case (lower panels). Panels (a) and (c) show the electron density in the x-z plane at $y = 500\Delta$, and panels (b) and (d) show a cross section in the y-z plane at $x = 1200\Delta$. Color bars (a) ± 106.2 ; (b) ± 16.62 ; (c) ± 99.5 ; (d) ± 140.4 .

Figure 5 shows the particle $x - \gamma v_x$ phase space (panels (a) and (c)) and the particle $x - \gamma v_z$ phase space (panels (b) and (d)) for jet electrons (red) and ambient electrons (blue). The location of jet collimation in the $e^- - p^+$ case is where jet electrons are strongly slowed and some jet electrons are reflected, i.e., the jet electrons have $\gamma v_x < 0$. At the collimation shock jet electrons are accelerated perpendicularly as shown in Figure 5(b). Jet electrons are accelerated just behind the jet front as shown by the spike in phase space at $x \approx 1700\Delta$ in Figure 5(a). Some ambient electrons are also slightly accelerated. The behavior of jet electrons is very similar to shock simulations (Nishikawa et al. 2009a) even though the region outside of the jet shows a complicated structure due to the excited kKHI and MI. For the e^{\pm} case, the jet and ambient electrons are slowly accelerated by the Weibel instability. The ambient electrons are swept up as in the shock simulations (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a; Choi et al. 2014; Ardaneh et al. 2015). However, owing to the short simulation box, the shock system has not yet fully formed.

Figure 6 shows the magnetic field strength in the x-z plane at $y = 500\Delta$ and in a cross section in the y-z plane at $x = 1200\Delta$. Figure 6(a) shows a strong magnetic field in the

jet between $500 < x/\Delta < 800$, where the electron-proton jet collimates owing to strong toroidal magnetic fields. The magnetic field strength at $x \approx 700\Delta$ indicates a maximum toroidal magnetic field strength at radius $25\Delta < r_{jt} < 100\Delta$. The strong toroidal magnetic fields are also seen in Figures 11(a) and 12(a). For the $e^- - p^+$ case waves generated by the kKHI and the MI are visible in Figure 6(b) at $x = 1200\Delta$. Strongly growing MI waves are seen at two and five clock (Figure 6(b)). The layers of concentric rings around the jet seem to be generated by kKHI. For the e^{\pm} case the strongest magnetic fields are located near $x \approx 1100\Delta$, where the Weibel instability grows. In the transverse plane at the jet-ambient boundary patterns induced by the MI are visible in Figure 6(d).

Figure 7 shows the 3D structure in the *x* component of the current density in the jet for the $e^- - p^+$ case near the injection region (400 < x/Δ < 800), in an intermediate region (800 < x/Δ < 1200), and near the jet front (1600 < x/Δ < 2000). Collimation occurs near $x \approx 600\Delta$, where proton current filaments merge into the uniform electron current inside the toroidal proton current shown in Figures 7(a) and (b). This uniform electron current can also be seen in Figure 4(a) and is



Figure 5. Phase-space distribution of jet (red) and ambient (blue) electrons at $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$ for the $e^- - p^+$ case (upper panels) and the e^{\pm} case (lower panels). Panels (a) and (c) show particle $x - \gamma v_x$ phase space, and panels (b) and (d) show particle $x - \gamma v_z$ phase space.

associated with the collimated electron density seen in Figure 3(a). The collimation is generated by the strong toroidal magnetic field shown in Figure 6(a). This strong toroidal magnetic field is generated by the kKHI and/or MI. Based on the growth rates shown in Figure 1 in Alves et al. (2015), the MI has a much higher growth rate for $\gamma_{jt} = 15$. The structure of the magnetic field lines outside the jet is a signature of the MI. Since MI grows transverse to the jet, and as in the slab model, the magnetic fields become DC when the current filaments merge in the nonlinear stage (see Nishikawa et al. 2014c). The strongest toroidal magnetic fields are located inside the jet surface and are hidden by the proton currents shown in red in Figures 7(a) and (b). Figure 7(c) shows that the collimation relaxes gradually around $x \approx 1000\Delta$. Current filaments and nonlinear phenomena at the jet front need further future investigation with a fully evolved shock system.

Figure 8 shows the 3D structure in the *x* component of the current density in the jet for the e^{\pm} case near the injection region ($400 < x/\Delta < 800$), in an intermediate region ($800 < x/\Delta < 1200$), and near the jet front ($1600 < x/\Delta < 2000$). As in a previous electron–positron simulation (Nishikawa et al. 2009a), current filaments grow and in the nonlinear stage are located outside the jet as shown in Figure 8(c), and they merge and/or dissipate as shown in Figure 4(c). After merging, current filaments become weak by $x \approx 1300\Delta$. This evolution is very similar to a previous simulation at the same simulation time $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$ (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a). In the e^{\pm} case, as previously found in the slab model, AC magnetic field patterns are generated at the velocity shear and no collimation occurs.

Figure 9 shows the electron density in a 2D slice through jet center for the $e^- - p^+$ (upper) and e^{\pm} (lower) at time $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. In order to show the electron density structure in detail, five sections are scaled separately. The minimum and maximum numbers of each panel are indicated at the upper right corner. For the $e^- - p^+$ case the electron density increases

at $550 < x/\Delta < 900$ owing to collimation. The collimation relaxes by $x/\Delta \approx 1150$, and the electron density spreads to the original jet width. For the e^{\pm} case electron density filaments are initially generated along the jet and in the nonlinear stage move outside of the jet as shown in Figures 9(b) and 8(c).

Figure 10 shows J_x in 2D slices through jet center for the $e^- - p^+$ (upper) and e^{\pm} (lower) cases at time $t = 1700 \omega_{pe}^{-1}$. For the $e^- - p^+$ case strong electron currents are generated in the center of the jet $550 < x/\Delta < 900$ consistent with the collimation shown in Figure 9(a). After the collimation relaxes around $x/\Delta \approx 1150$, proton currents become dominant at the jet boundary and the electron and proton currents become layered at $x/\Delta > 1200$. It should be noted that in the collimated region the magnetic fields shown by the arrows provide rather complex structures. For the e^{\pm} case current filaments are initially generated along the jet, and in the nonlinear stage they move out of the jet as shown in Figures 8 (c), 10(b), and 11(b).

Figure 11 shows 2D slices of B_y through the jet center for the $e^{-}-p^{+}$ (upper) and e^{\pm} (lower) cases at time $t = 1700\omega_{\text{pe}}^{-1}$. In the $e^- - p^+$ case strong toroidal magnetic fields collimate the jet. This strong toroidal magnetic field is generated by the strong $-J_r$ by collimated jet (ambient) electrons as shown in Figure 4(a). After the collimation relaxes around $x/\Delta \approx 1150$, the polarity of the toroidal magnetic fields switches from clockwise in the rightmost panels to counterclockwise in the leftmost panels as viewed from the jet front. The counterclockwise magnetic fields are generated by the current layer $(+J_x)$ at the jet boundary as shown in the right two panels in Figure 10(a). Owing to the perpendicularly accelerated jet electrons in the collimated region as shown in Figure 5(b), the electrons are expelled from the collimated region and are slowed down as shown in Figure 5(b). Consequently, the MI is saturated and the strong toroidal magnetic field disappears and releases the collimation. At the same time, concentrated



Figure 6. Magnetic field strength |B| with electric field arrows in the plane at $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$ for the $e^- - p^+$ case (upper panels) and the e^{\pm} case (lower panels). Panels (a) and (c) show the electron density in the *x*-*z* plane at $y = 500\Delta$, and panels (b) and (d) show a cross section in the *y*-*z* plane at $x = 1200\Delta$. Color bars (a) 0–5.683; (b) 0–1.053; (c) 0–3.244; (d) 0–4.150.

electron current near the center of the jet brakes and jet electrons expand outward. As shown in Figure 12(b), heavy jet protons maintain the original jet border line, and as a result of the decrease of electrons near the jet boundary, $+J_x$ current is generated, which generates the counterclockwise magnetic field. Furthermore, the patterns of MI are seen near the jet boundary.

For the e^{\pm} case, filaments of alternating B_y are initially generated along the jet by the current filaments, and at the nonlinear stage they move out of the jet as shown in Figures 8 (c) and 11(b). For both cases at the jet front the strong striped B_y components are generated by the current filaments as shown in Figure 10, which have been observed in previous simulations of the Weibel instability (Nishikawa et al. 2009a; Ardaneh et al. 2015).

Figure 12 shows J_x in 2D cross sections at $x/\Delta = 700$ and $x/\Delta = 1300$ for the $e^- - p^+$ case (panels (a) and (b)) and the e^{\pm} case (panels (c) and (d)) at time $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. The arrows show the magnetic field $B_{y,z}$ in the cross section plane. For the e^--p^+ case at $x/\Delta = 700$ the toroidal magnetic field is clockwise and generated by the electron current in the center of

the jet. Since electrons are collimated, protons move slightly toward jet center as indicated by stronger $+J_x$ located slightly inside the original jet boundary. At $x/\Delta = 1300$ the magnetic field is counterclockwise and generated by proton-dominated currents slightly inside the jet boundary. For the e^{\pm} case shown in Figure 12(c) small current filaments are generated by the kKHI, the MI, and the Weibel instability. The slightly stronger current filaments around the jet boundary are likely generated by the MI. These small current filaments merge into the two larger current filaments at $x/\Delta = 1300$ shown in Figure 12(d).

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We performed simulations of a relativistic cylindrical jet that is injected into an ambient plasma in order to investigate a simultaneous velocity shear and shock system and to study the interaction between shear instabilities (kKHI and MI) and the filamentation Weibel-like instability. The investigation of the combined processes shows very different evolution for $e^- - p^+$ and e^{\pm} plasma cases. Our jet radius and transverse grid dimensions properly reveal the different evolution associated with the velocity shear instability. However, the grid length is



Figure 7. 3D structure of the current density component J_x clipped at the jet center parallel and perpendicular to the jet for the $e^- - p^+$ case at $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. White magnetic field lines are shown in the region ($303 < y/\Delta, z/\Delta < 703$). Panels (a) and (b) show J_x in the region $400 < x/\Delta < 800$, where panel (b) shows an inside region around jet center. Panels (c) and (d) show J_x in the regions $800 < x/\Delta < 1200$ and $1600 < x/\Delta < 2000$, respectively. Color bars (a) ± 10.0 ; (b) ± 10.0 ; (c) ± 15.0 ; (d) ± 20.0 .

not long enough for the leading edge shock system to fully develop as shown in previous shock generation simulations using a much longer grid (Nishikawa et al. 2009a; Choi et al. 2014; Ardaneh et al. 2015).

The key simulation results for the $e^- - p^+$ plasma case are as follows:

- 1. Jet electrons are collimated by strong toroidal magnetic fields generated by the MI.
- 2. Electrons are perpendicularly accelerated along with the jet collimation.
- 3. Toroidal magnetic field polarity switches from clockwise to counterclockwise about halfway down the jet.

The key simulation results for the e^{\pm} plasma case are as follows:

- 1. Jet electrons and positrons mix with the ambient plasma.
- 2. Magnetic fields around current filaments generated by a combination of kKHI, MI, and Weibel instability merge and generate density fluctuations.
- 3. A larger jet radius is required to properly simulate the e^{\pm} case since jet and ambient particles mix strongly.

Kinetic processes at the velocity shear, i.e., the kKHI and MI, lead to differences between the $e^- - p^+$ and e^{\pm} cases. Proper spatial behavior can only be investigated by the simulation setup used in this study. Owing to the merging of current filaments generated by the kKHI and the MI, DC magnetic fields are generated outside the $e^- - p^+$ jet. These strong toroidal magnetic fields collimate the jet electrons. After the instabilities saturate and relax, the polarity of the toroidal magnetic field switches from clockwise to counterclockwise as shown in Figure 11(a). The collimation of jet electrons is accompanied by perpendicular acceleration as shown in Figure 5(b).

For the $e^- - p^+$ case, interaction and magnetic fields do not extend far from the initial velocity shear surface. For the e^{\pm} cases, interaction and magnetic fields extend farther from the initial velocity shear surface although the interaction occurs more inside the jet for higher jet Lorentz factor.

Differences in velocity shear magnetic field structure resulting from differences in composition should have consequences for the appearance of jets in very high resolution radio imaging. For a simple cylindrical geometry velocity shear case, an electron– proton jet would primarily build toroidal magnetic field at the velocity shear surface. The magnetic field would appear quasiparallel to the line of sight at the limbs of the jet for typical aspect angles $\theta \approx \gamma_{jt}^{-1}$. In contrast, a pair-plasma jet would generate sizable radial field components that are only about a factor of two weaker than the toroidal field. The strong electric and magnetic fields in the velocity shear zone will be conducive to particle acceleration as shown in Figure 5(b).

Since the DC field is stronger than the AC field in the electron–proton case, a kinetic treatment is clearly required in



Figure 8. 3D structure of the current density component J_x clipped at the jet center parallel and perpendicular to the jet for the e^{\pm} case at $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. White magnetic field lines are shown in the region ($303 < y/\Delta$, $z/\Delta < 703$). Panels (a) and (b) show J_x in the region $400 < x/\Delta < 800$, where panel (b) shows an inside region around the jet center. Panels (c) and (d) show J_x in the regions $800 < x/\Delta < 1200$ and $1600 < x/\Delta < 2000$, respectively. Color bars (a) ± 70.0 ; (b) ± 70.0 ; (c) ± 50.0 ; (d) ± 30.0 .



Figure 9. 2D slices of the electron density for (a) the $e^- - p^+$ case and (b) the e^{\pm} case at time $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. Arrows show $B_{x,z}$. The maximum and minimum values of the electron density in each of the five jet regions are indicated at the upper right.



Figure 10. 2D slices of J_x for (a) the $e^- - p^+$ case and (b) the e^{\pm} case at time $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. Arrows show $B_{x,z}$. The color scale at the right is for only the rightmost panel (region $1550 < x/\Delta < 1850$). The maximum and minimum values in each of the five jet regions are indicated at upper right.



Figure 11. 2D slices of B_y for (a) the $e^- - p^+$ case and (b) the e^{\pm} case at time $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. Arrows show $E_{x,z}$. The color scale at the right is for only the rightmost panel (region $1550 < x/\Delta < 1850$). The maximum and minimum values in each of the five jet regions are indicated at the upper right.

order to fully capture the generated field structure (Alves et al. 2012). The generated field structure is important because it may lead to a distinct radiation signature (e.g., Medvedev 2000; Martins et al. 2009; Nishikawa et al. 2009b, 2010, 2011, 2012; Sironi & Spitkovsky 2009b; Frederiksen et al. 2010; Medvedev et al. 2011).

The evolution of the e^{\pm} jet is very similar to previous jet front shock simulations (e.g., Nishikawa et al. 2009a). Since the jet length is only 1700 Δ , the leading edge shock system is

not yet fully formed, but the current filaments are merged as in the shock simulations.

The dissipation of a significant fraction of the magnetic energy, e.g., via magnetic reconnection, will naturally result in the appearance of flares when the accelerated particle beam is directed along the line of sight (Giannios et al. 2009; Komissarov et al. 2009; Nalewajko et al. 2011; Zhang & Yan 2011; Cerutti et al. 2012; Granot 2012; Komissarov 2012; McKinney & Uzdensky 2012; Sironi et al. 2015). Recently,



Figure 12. The *x* component of current density J_x for the $e^- - p^+$ case (panels (a) and (b)) and the e^{\pm} case (panels (c) and (d)) at time $t = 1700\omega_{pe}^{-1}$. Arrows show $B_{y,z}$. Panels (a) and (c) are at $x/\Delta = 700$, and panels (b) and (d) are at $x/\Delta = 1300$. Color bars (a) ± 59.65 ; (b) ± 7.613 ; (c) ± 120.0 ; (d) ± 41.33 .

Beniamini & Granot (2015) have studied the expected prompt GRB emission from magnetic reconnection and compare its expected temporal and spectral properties to observations. Therefore, it is very important to investigate any signatures of reconnection. For example, as shown in Figure 10(a) in the jet around $x/\Delta = 700$ and in Figure 10(b) around $x/\Delta = 1300$, complicated magnetic field structures may be a signature of reconnection. Future work will address this possibility.

Current and magnetic structures are very different in the electron-proton and electron-positron cases. The differences arise from the different mobilities of protons and positrons. The toroidal component of the magnetic field found for the $e^- - p^+$ case would lead to a stratification in the emission across the jet width due to the dependence of the synchrotron emission with the angle between the magnetic field and the line of sight (e.g., Aloy et al. 2000; Clausen-Brown et al. 2011). Furthermore, a toroidal magnetic field would lead to a gradient in Faraday rotation across the jet width, produced by the systematic change in the net line-of-sight magnetic field component (Laing 1981; Blandford 1993). Such gradients in Faraday rotation across the jet width have been searched for through simultaneous multifrequency polarimetric very long baseline

interferometry (VLBI) observations of AGN jets. The first detection of a Faraday rotation gradient was reported by Asada et al. (2002) based on VLBA observations of 3C 273. Following this initial detection, other authors have claimed similar gradients in Faraday rotation in other AGN jets (e.g., Gabuzda et al. 2004; Gómez et al. 2008; O'Sullivan & Gabuzda 2009). Hovatta et al. (2012) have studied a sample of 191 extragalactic radio jets observed within the MOJAVE program, finding significant Faraday rotation gradients in CTA 102, 4C 39.25, 3C 454.3, and 3C 273; among these sources, the most clear evidence was again obtained for 3C 273, for which these authors have also found variations in the Faraday rotation screen over a timescale of 3 months, suggesting some internal (within the jet-emitting region) Faraday rotation. Furthermore, a sign change from positive to negative Faraday rotation was observed in 3C 273 that resembles the change in polarity of the toroidal field found in our electron-proton case.

More recently, Gómez et al. (2016) have investigated the Faraday rotation screen in BL Lacertae through space-VLBI observations with the RadioAstron mission. These observations, achieving an angular resolution of \sim 20 uas (the highest

obtained to date), reveal a gradient in Faraday rotation and polarization vectors as a function of position angle with respect to the core, suggesting the presence of a large-scale helical magnetic field threading the jet in BL Lacertae.

Additional work is required to explore the observational signatures of the different magnetic field properties expected for the $e^- - p^+$ and e^{\pm} cases. The resulting magnetic field structures are different enough to yield distinctive polarizations in VLBI observations of AGN jets. Toroidal magnetic fields inside and outside the electron-proton jet may contribute to circular polarization (CP). VLBI observations in the MOJAVE sample have revealed CP in about 15% of the observed sources, with a level of CP of the order of 0.5% of the local total intensity (Homan & Lister 2006). Subsequent observations by Gabuzda et al. (2008) and Vitrishchak et al. (2008) of the same sources for which CP was observed in the MOJAVE sample show no disagreement in the sign of the CP, which supports the idea that the CP is indeed related to the intrinsic composition and magnetic field structure of AGN jets. Although a pair plasma cannot generate intrinsic CP, the CP obtained by Wardle et al. (1998) through VLBI observations of 3C 279 is explained if the CP is produced by Faraday conversion. Faraday conversion requires a low-energy cutoff in the electron energy distribution, and a pair plasma is required to avoid the jet carrying more kinetic energy than observed.

In these simulations, helical magnetic fields are not included. This also is a major difference with RMHD simulations, which have a helical magnetic field. Therefore, the results in this study may not cover all aspects of real extragalactic jets. Nevertheless, the phenomena in this PIC simulation study are based on the kinetic effects such as kKHI and MI, which are not included in RMHD simulations. For example, hot spots in FRII jets could be explained as a result of particle (electron) acceleration due to kinetic instabilities. However, the size of the jets in this study is very small compared to the real jets; therefore, the macroscopic dynamics of jets may not be included fully. Still, it is fruitful to compare these results with parsec-scale jets, but careful considerations need to be taken. We plan to perform synergistic simulation studies with RMHD, which will be reported in a separate report.

In our future simulations we will inject jets with a helical magnetic field like that implemented in Markidis et al. (2014). This configuration will allow investigation of the effect of helical magnetic fields on growth of the Weibel instability, the kKHI, and MI, as well as of whether an MHD-like kink and/or a KHI velocity shear driven helical twist occurs.

This work is supported by NSF AST-0908010, AST-0908040, NASA-NNG05GK73G, NNX07AJ88G, NNX08AG83G, NNX08AL39G, NNX09AD16G, NNX12AH06G, NNX13AP-21G, and NNX13AP14G grants. The work of J.N. has been supported by Narodowe Centrum Nauki through research project DEC-2013/10/E/ST9/00662. J.L.G. acknowledges support through grant AYA2013-40825-P of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness. Y.M. is supported by the ERC Synergy Grant "BlackHoleCam-Imaging the Event Horizon of Black Holes" (Grant No. 610058). M.P. acknowledges support through grant PO 1508/1-2 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. Simulations were performed using Columbia and Pleiades facilities at NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) and using Kraken and Nautilus at The National Institute for Computational Sciences (NICS) and Stampede at The Texas

Advanced Computing Center, which are supported by the NSF. This research was started during the program "Chirps, Mergers and Explosions: The Final Moments of Coalescing Compact Binaries" at the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, which is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant No. PHY05-51164. The first velocity shear results using an electron–positron plasma were obtained during the Summer Aspen workshop "Astrophysical Mechanisms of Particle Acceleration and Escape from the Accelerators" held at the Aspen Center for Physics (2013 September 1–15).

REFERENCES

- Aloy, M.-A., Gómez, J. L., Ibáñez, J. M., Martí, J. M., & Müller, E. 2000, ApJL, 528, L85
- Alves, E. P. 2010, Master's thesis, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa
- Alves, E. P., Grismayer, T., Fonseca, R. A., & Silva, L. O. 2014, NJPh, 16, 035007
- Alves, E. P., Grismayer, T., Fonseca, R. A., & Silva, L. O. 2015, PhReE, 92, 1101
- Alves, E. P., Grismayer, T., Martins, S. F., et al. 2012, ApJL, 746, L14
- Alves, E. P., Grismayer, T., Silveirinha, M. G., Fonseca, R. A., & Silva, L. O. 2016, PPCF, 58, 014025
- Ardaneh, K., Cai, D., Nishikawa, K.-I., & Lembége, B. 2015, ApJ, 811, 57
- Asada, K., Inoue, M., Uchida, Y., et al. 2002, PASJ, 54, L39
- Beniamini, P., & Granot, J. 2015, MNRAS, submitted (arXiv:150902192)
- Blandford, R. D. 1993, in Astrophysical Jets, ed. D. Burgarella, M. Livio, & C. P. O'Dea (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 15
- Blandford, R. D., & Znajek, R. L. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 433
- Bret, A., Gremillet, L., & Dieckmann, M. E. 2010, PhPl, 17, 120501
- Cerutti, B., Werner, G. R., Uzdensky, D. A., & Begelman, M. 2012, ApJL, 754, L33
- Choi, E. J., Min, K., Nishikawa, K.-I., & Choi, C. R. 2014, PhPl, 21, 2905
- Clausen-Brown, E., Lyutikov, M., & Kharb, P. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 2081
- Dieckmann, M. E., Shukla, P. K., & Drury, L. O. C. 2008, ApJ, 675, 586
- Frederiksen, J. T., Haugbølle, T., Medvedev, M. V., & Nordlund, Å. 2010, ApJL, 722, L114
- Frederiksen, J. T., Hededal, C. B., Haugblle, T., & Nordlund 2004, ApJL, 608, L13
- Gabuzda, D. C., Murray, E., & Cronin, P. 2004, MNRAS, 351, L89
- Gabuzda, D. C., Vitrishchak, V. M., Mahmud, M., & O'Sullivan, S. P. 2008, MNRAS, 384, 1003
- Giannios, D., Uzdensky, D. A., & Begelman, M. C. 2009, MNRAS, 395, L29
- Gómez, J., Lobanov, A. P., Bruni, G., et al. 2016, ApJ, 817, 96
- Gómez, J. L., Marscher, A. P., Jorstad, S. G., Agudo, I., & Roca-Sogorb, M. 2008, ApJL, 681, L69
- Granot, J. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 2442
- Grismayer, T., Alves, E. P., Fonseca, L. O., & Silva, L. 2013a, PPCF, 55, 124031
- Grismayer, T., Alves, E. P., Fonseca, L. O., & Silva, L. 2013b, PRL, 111, 015005
- Haugbølle, T. 2011, ApJL, 739, L42
- Hededal, C. B., Haugblle, T., Frederiksen, J. T., & Nordlund 2004, ApJL, 617, L107
- Hededal, C. B., & Nishikawa, K.-I. 2005, ApJL, 623, L89
- Homan, D. C., & Lister, M. L. 2006, AJ, 131, 1262
- Hovatta, T., Lister, M. L., Aller, M. F., et al. 2012, AJ, 144, 105
- Jaroschek, C. H., Lesch, H., & Treumann, R. A. 2005, ApJ, 618, 822
- Komissarov, S. S. 2012, MNRAS, 422, 326
- Komissarov, S. S., Vlahakis, N., Königl3, A., & Barkov, M. V. 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1153
- Laing, R. A. 1981, ApJ, 248, 87
- Liang, E., Boettcher, M., & Smith, I. 2013a, ApJL, 766, L19
- Liang, E., Boettcher, M., & Smith, I. 2013b, ApJL, 779, L27
- Markidis, S., Lapenta, G., Delzanno, G. L., et al. 2014, PPCF, 56, 064010
- Martins, J. L., Martins, S. F., Fonseca, R. A., & Silva, L. O. 2009, Proc. SPIE, 7359, 73590V-1–8
- McKinney, J. C., Tchekhovskoy, A., Sadowski, A., & Narayana, R. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 3177
- McKinney, J. C., & Uzdensky, D. A. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 573
- Medvedev, M. V. 2000, ApJ, 540, 704
- Medvedev, M. V., Frederiksen, J. T., Haugbølle, T., & Nordlund, A. 2011, ApJ, 737, 55
- Medvedev, M. V., & Loeb, A. 1999, ApJ, 526, 697

- Nalewajko, K., Begelman, M. C., Cerutti, B., Uzdensky, D. A., & Sikora, M. 2011, MNRAS, 413, 333
- Ng, J. S. T., & Noble, R. J. 2006, PRL, 96, 115006
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Hardee, P., Dutan, I., et al. 2014a, arXiv:1412.7064
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Hardee, P., Hededal, C. B., & Fishman, G. J. 2006, ApJ, 642, 1267
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Hardee, P., Mizuno, Y., et al. 2014b, IJMPS, 28, 1460195
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Hardee, P., Richardson, G., et al. 2003, ApJ, 595, 555
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Hardee, P., Richardson, G., et al. 2005, ApJ, 622, 927
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Hardee, P. E., Duțan, I., et al. 2014c, ApJ, 793, 60
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Mizuno, Y., Fishman, G. J., & Hardee, P. 2008, IJMPD, 17, 1761
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Niemiec, J., Hardee, P., et al. 2009a, ApJL, 698, L10
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Niemiec, J., Medvedev, M., et al. 2010, IJMPD, 19, 715
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Niemiec, J., Medvedev, M., et al. 2011, AdSR, 47, 1434
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Niemiec, J., Sol, H., et al. 2009b, AIPCP, 1085, 589

- Nishikawa, K.-I., Niemiec, J., Zhang, B., et al. 2012, IJMPS, 8, 259
- Nishikawa, K.-I., Zhang, B., Dutan, I., et al. 2013, AnGeo, 31, 1535
- O'Sullivan, S. P., & Gabuzda, D. C. 2009, MNRAS, 393, 429
- Pe'er, A. 2014, SSRv, 183, 371
- Silva, L. O., Fonseca, R. A., Tonge, J. W., et al. 2003, ApJL, 596, L121
- Sironi, L., Petropoulou, M., & Giannios, D. 2015, MNRAS, 450, 183
- Sironi, L., & Spitkovsky, A. 2009a, ApJ, 698, 1523
- Sironi, L., & Spitkovsky, A. 2009b, ApJL, 707, L92
- Sironi, L., Spitkovsky, A., & Arons, J. 2013, ApJ, 771, 54
- Spitkovsky, A. 2008a, ApJL, 673, L39
- Spitkovsky, A. 2008b, ApJL, 682, L5
- Vitrishchak, V. M., Gabuzda, D. C., Algaba, J. C., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 124
- Wardle, J. F. C., Homan, D. C., Ojha, R., & Roberts, D. H. Natur, 395, 457 Weibel, E. S. 1959, PhRvL, 2, 83
- Zhang, B., & Yan, H. 2011, ApJ, 726, 90